

Department of Economics

| Course name: | Antitrust and Regulation |
|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Course code: | EC7114 |
| Examiner: | Sten Nyberg |
| Number of credits: | 7,5 credits |
| Date of exam: | Friday 1 December 2017 |
| Examination time: | 3 hours [15:00-18:00] |

Write your identification number on each answer sheet. Use the printed answer sheets for all your answers. Do not answer more than one question on each answer sheet.

Use one cover sheet per question. Explain notions/concepts and symbols. If you think that a question is vaguely formulated, specify the conditions used for solving it. Only legible exams will be marked. **No aids are allowed.**

The exam consists of 4 questions, 100 points in total. For the grade E 45 points are required, for D 50 points, C 60 points, B 75 points and A 90 points.

Your results will be made available on your "My Studies" account 15 working days after the exam occasion, at the latest.

Good luck!

Question 1 (30p)

Consider a horizontal merger between two firms, A and B, which does not give rise to any efficiencies. The competition authority has found that firms compete in prices and offer differentiated products. Moreover, all firms have a 40 percent mark-up (= Lerner index).

- a) The authority defines the relevant market as 4 symmetric firms. Calculate the pre-merger HHI, and the change in HHI induced by the merger.
- b) Suppose a 10 percent price increase reduces the hypothetical monopolist's demand by 15 percent. Does the authority's market definition pass the Critical Loss test?
- c) Suppose other firms will keep their prices constant, and that the diversion ratios between the merging parties are symmetric and equal to 1/3. Calculate the indicative price rise under the assumption that demand is linear.

Question 2 (20p)

Consider a market where there is a fixed demand for 1000 units and suppose a dominant firm is an unavoidable trading partner for 70% of the market. The incumbent's cost function is C = 900 + Q. Suppose the incumbent sets P = 10 and offers a retroactive rebate of 20 % if customers buy all their services from it.

- a) Calculate the effective price for an entrant aiming to capture 30% of the market.
- b) Would this rebate scheme foreclose an equally efficient competitor?

Question 3 (25p)

A regulator faces a natural monopoly and considers different types of regulation.

a) One drawback with a rate of return regulation is the so called Averch and Johnson effect. Describe briefly what this means.

Suppose the regulator chooses a linear incentive regulation of price: p(c) = a + bc with a > 0 and 0 < b < 1. Demand is constant and equals 1, and the production cost is $c = \theta - e$. θ is a cost parameter taking the values 4 and 6 with equal probability, and *e* is the firm's effort to reduce cost, neither of which is observable by the regulator. The cost of effort is e^2 .

b) Determine the firm's optimal effort as a function of *b*.

The regulator maximizes $W = C.S. + \gamma \pi$ where *C.S.* is consumer surplus, π is firm profit and $0 \le \gamma \le 1$ is the weight on profit.

- c) Suppose b = 0.5 (which is optimal if $\gamma = 0.5$). What is the expected rent, E(Π), assuming the regulator sets *a* so that the inefficient firm breaks even? (Hint: Use the formula in the lecture notes. Alternatively, calculate *a*, given the break-even condition, derive the efficient firm's profit, and weigh this with the probability of having low cost).
- d) What would the optimal *b* be if $\gamma = 1$? (No need to calculate, just explain).

Question 4 (25p/Credit question)

A strict price cap regulation provides optimal investment incentives but may leave large rents to the firm. Yardstick competition or franchise bidding could reduce rents.

- a) Carefully explain the idea behind yardstick regulation, and how it works.
- b) Explain the idea behind franchise bidding and how it works. Discuss the main points of Williamson's critique of franchise bidding.